REMARKS

Claims 1-14 and 16 are now pending in the application. Claims 1, 7, and 8 are now amended. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furukawa (U.S. Pat. No. 6,243,022) in view of Campbell et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 2002/0067245). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to include that the processor notifies a user that preselected features are not available based on incompatibility between the preselected features and the one command from Paragraph [0024]. The claimed compatibility determination provides information that may be supplied to inform the user that the requested function is not available. As best understood by the Applicants, no such information is provided in Furukawa or Campbell, and thus the claims and the prior art differ.

Claim 8 has been amended to include that the RF transmitting means is compatible with multiple vehicles having differing vehicle features from Paragraph [0022]. One key fob may be manufactured for multiple vehicle platforms having a variety of features activatable by the key fob. As best understood by the Applicants, Furukawa and Campbell do not include key fobs with features not included in the specific vehicle. They therefore merely suggest only vehicles with differing features requiring different or modified key fobs. Furukawa and Campbell are not directed to a

Serial No. 10/766,152

single type of key fob for use with multiple types of vehicles as are the Applicants. The claims therefore differ from the prior art.

Therefore claims 1, 7, and 8 are believed to be allowable for at least the aforementioned reasons. Claims 2-6 and 9-14 depend from claims 1, 7, and 8 and are believed to be allowable for at least this reason.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowance of Claim 16.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 944-6526.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 29

Gordon K. Harris, Jr., Reg. No. 28,615

(248) 944-6526

Attorney for Applicants

Ralph Edwin Smith
CIMS 483-02-19
DaimlerChrysler Intellectual Capital Corporation
DaimlerChrysler Tech. Center
800 Chrysler Drive
Aubum Hills, Michigan 48326-2757

Phone: 248-944-6519